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Summary

Objective: Customized footwear with appropriate insole material for offloading is widely used for preventing ulceration in diabetic and leprosy affected patients.

Methods: Computer based finite element analysis was carried out on a 3 dimensionally modelled insole. The insole was tested with the boundary condition of constant geometry, constant loading and varied material properties to identify the biomechanical behaviour of material.

Results: A total of 7 commonly used insole rubber materials including Butyl Rubber, Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA), Isoprene, Natural Rubber, Neoprene, Polyurethane and Micro Cellular Rubber (MCR) were tested using finite element analysis. The study exhibited low deformation and stress values for EVA. High deformation and stress values were seen in MCR.

Conclusion: The comparison of insole materials provides health workers with the required knowledge for selection of appropriate material for therapeutic insoles. The mechanical analysis of materials through the computer aided analysis will help the therapeutic footwear technician and designer to design, fabricate and use appropriate insoles.
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Introduction

The 0.5–3% of world’s diabetic population develop plantar ulcers. Peak plantar pressures are identified as one of most common risk factors for plantar ulceration.\textsuperscript{1–3} Approximately 20% of diabetic patients with underlying foot infections may require amputation.\textsuperscript{4} High peak plantar pressures are common in the anaesthetic feet of patients affected with leprosy and other peripheral vascular diseases. Change in the foot structure due to repeated plantar ulceration can lead to further irregular distribution of plantar pressures.\textsuperscript{5} It has been established that the use of orthoses such as medial arch support, metatarsal bar and other customized insoles relieve...
the pressure on the foot and prevent ulcers. Studies have also established that the reoccurrence of plantar ulceration could be prevented if the peak plantar pressure is maintained below 200 Kilopascals. Foot ulcers, caused by high peak plantar pressures can be significantly reduced through appropriate footwear and customized insoles. Though various materials are suitable to fabricate orthoses and footwear, the limitations in using the materials relate to the lack of durability and their high costs. Health staff and patients lack scientific evidence to identify and use ideal materials to fabricate orthotic insoles. Scientifically validated evidence on the changes and deformation in the material to the varied external forces would help clinicians and patients use appropriate materials for fabricating orthoses. Identifying materials that would be durable and cost effective while effectively dissipating the peak plantar pressures on the foot, is the need of the hour. Footwear with ideal insoles which dissipate the pressure are also essential for people who have deformed, ulcerated and anaesthetic feet. Materials such as Micro Cellular Rubber (MCR), Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA) and Polyurethane are commonly used insole materials in fabricating appropriate footwear for various foot related problems. EVA and MCR are widely preferred and used in fabricating orthoses for leprosy as well as diabetic patients.

The aim of this study was to compare the offloading properties of the commonly used materials in fabricating insoles. The study was also initiated to determine the effective and ideal insole materials that can be used in effectively dissipating peak plantar pressure. Earlier studies carried out by the author have demonstrated the effectiveness of analysing tissue stress using the finite element analysis (FEA) method. The present study used finite element analysis to establish the efficacy of the materials used in fabricating orthoses and foot insoles.

The FEA was carried out on an insole designed for a normal adult foot shape. The loading of the foot model was tested on the insole with varied material properties. Standardized properties like the yield stress, tensile strength and Young’s modulus available in the literature were provided for the materials to carry out the computer based simulation. The material properties of Butyl Rubber, Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA), Isoprene, Natural Rubber, Neoprene, Polyurethane and Micro Cellular Rubber (MCR) were compared and tested on the designed insole. The response of the materials to the simulation and its effect in dissipating peak plantar pressures were further analysed in this study.

**Materials and methods**

The insole for a normal adult foot and a realistic 3-dimensional (3D) model of a foot were designed using Computer Aided Designing (CAD) software. Finite Element Analysis (FEA) was used to study the interaction between the 3D foot model and the insole to evaluate the biomechanical behaviour of the identified insole materials. SOLIDWORKS 2018 software was used for designing the insole and ANSYS 2019 R1 was used to carry out finite element analysis and evaluate the mechanical properties of the insole.

An insole of 10 mm thickness was designed using CAD software (SOLIDWORKS). The designed insole model was then exported to the FEA software (ANSYS) to carry out simulations. Computed Tomography (CT) images of a foot in the subtalar neutral position were used to create a realistic foot model ideal for the foot insole. Then the foot-insole assembly was created on CAD and exported to ANSYS for simulation.

The biomechanical response of the foot model with the insole was analysed. On the imported assembly, static structural analysis was carried out by altering the material properties of the insole, including Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio. Analysis of the insoles made from Isoprene, Butyl Rubber, Polyurethane, Neoprene, Micro Cellular Rubber, Ethylene Vinyl
Acetate and Natural Rubber were carried out through constant loading geometric and meshing properties. The mechanical properties, such as elasticity, stress, strain and deformation of each material were analysed on the designed insole.

The insole materials were simulated and tested for deformation by applying a force of 686.7 N (70 kg) through the foot model on the insole as shown in Figure 1. Mechanical behaviour, occurrence of total deformation, equivalent elastic stress and strain distribution on the insole were analysed. Relationships between stress and strain were independent of time and loading properties. The elasticity of the material was exhibited by the complete and immediate recovery of the material to its original shape on removal of load. Evidence demonstrates that among the materials, the one with the lowest elastic modulus has the highest elastic property.

Results

The deformation results of the insole materials were analysed, as shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4. The result showed that Micro Cellular Rubber exhibited maximum deformation when compared with other materials. The materials exhibited elastic deformability, linear stress and strain until the yield point. Greater deformation exhibited a greater displacement in the insole.

Each material was analysed for the stress by measuring the intensity of a reaction to the externally applied load. Its internal force acting perpendicular to a unit of area within the material was also analysed for stress. Mechanical properties, including stress, strain and deformation, represent the strength, resistance to failure, hardness, elasticity and shock absorption properties of the materials.

The analysis revealed that Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA) material had the lowest stress concentration when compared to other materials. Hence EVA could provide greater stability to the foot when compared with other rubber materials.

Discussion

A total of seven materials were simulated and analysed in the study. The analysis established that among all the materials tested, EVA exhibited low stress value and MCR high deformation values. The lower deformation and the stress values exhibited by the EVA make it an ideal material for orthosis fabrication. The decreased resilience and the damping property of the
rubber makes it more stable and effective. The properties of the EVA makes it an ideal rubber for moulding and fabricating orthoses for the impaired foot. Results of earlier studies have shown similar results, in demonstrating that EVA has good shock absorption properties for a durable orthotic insole. Studies have established that a three layered sandal with MCR as the midsole, polyurethane foam as the insole, and EVA in its varied co-polymer densities to provide stability to the footwear as an outer sole would be ideal.

Footwear
consumption across the world has reached 24.2 billion in 2018 leading to the use of synthetic polymers and increasing environmental pollution. In order to overcome the problem, biodegradable material like Polyurethane (PU) could eventually replace the existing materials. Deproteinized natural rubber latex (DPNR) could also be used as a therapeutic insole with excellent pressure reduction and shock absorption properties.\textsuperscript{27}

The increased deformation and the stress values of MCR makes it ideal to dissipate the pressure while walking and during other functional activities in leprosy affected patients. The elastic deformation property facilitates the MCR to offload the excessive peak plantar pressures and to reduce the shearing stresses in footwear. These properties of MCR rubber further reiterate the efficacy and durability of MCR footwear. Micro Cellular Rubber (MCR) insoles are widely used and are recommended for leprosy affected patients with anaesthetic feet.\textsuperscript{28} Studies have established that the lack of different designs and colours could decrease the acceptability of footwear among patients.\textsuperscript{29,30} MCR insoles, if made in different colours without compromising on the properties established in the study, could increase the acceptance among the leprosy affected patients. The rubber materials analysed in this study are presently used as insoles and fabricated in varied combinations in the footwear industry. This study has also established that material properties of polyurethane are ideal in dissipating pressures and could be an alternative to MCR for anaesthetic feet.

Use of technology and technology based interventions have been established to be useful in improving compliance and adherence to treatments.\textsuperscript{31} Further studies on simulating the interactions between the foot and insoles would help in improving treatment outcomes and compliance in wearing footwear. Using the ‘internet of things’ and sensor technology would help in understanding the wear and tear on the insoles. It would also help in improving the quality of life of those affected by debilitating diseases like leprosy and diabetes. This simulation study will enable clinicians to identify the durability and the limitations of various orthotic material exposed to external forces.

**Conclusions**

The study establishes and reinforces the significance of rubber materials and their properties in offloading an anaesthetic foot. The study shows that the materials tested when used as
insoles would significantly offload the foot with peak plantar pressures. However, the higher deformation characteristics exhibited by MCR would make it an ideal rubber to dissipate peak plantar pressures in footwear. The EVA with lower deformation characteristics could be effective in providing stability to the functional and adaptive orthoses fabricated for leprosy affected and other patients with foot impairments. The accessibility and affordability of MCR and EVA make them desirable offloading products for the anaesthetic foot.
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